Shifting of Industrial units from Haryana to other States

679. SHRI SHIV PRATAP MISHRA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that several big industrial units propose to shift from Haryana to other States;

(b) whether Atlas Cycle Factory at Sonepat in Haryana has also shifted to NOIDA in Uttar Pradesh;

(c) if so, what are the reasons therefor; and

(d) what steps Government are taking to check such shifting of industrial units from one State to another?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI AJIT SINGH): (a) to (d) Against the Industrial Licences issued for various locations in Haryana, only 6 applications for Change of Location were received in the Department of Industrial Development during the calendar years 1987 to 1989. However, no change of Location application has been received from Atlas Cycle Factory, which holds Industrial Approvals for locations at Sonepat in Haryana as also at Sahibabad in Uttar Pradesh.

The Central Government consider change of location applications received from entrepreneurs having regard to constraints of availability of raw material, supply of power, and other infrastructural facilities. Change of location is allowed on justifiable grounds only after consulting the State Governments concerned.

Performance of Public Sector Undertakings

680. DR. YELAMANCHILI SIVAJI : Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Government have appointed a Committee/Panel of Experts to assess the performance of various Public Sector Undertakings;

(b) if so, what is the constitution thereof; and

(c) what are the names of the Undertakings selected for excellence this year and what has been their performance in terms of production/financial results?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI AJIT SINGH) : (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) The selection process for the 'Award of excellence' for 1987-88 is still going on and no final list has emerged as yet. However, the performance of all the PSUs in terms of production/financial results for the year 1988-89 may be seen in the BPE survey presented to Parliament on 1st. March, 1990.

LPG bottling capacity

681. DR. YELAMANCHILI SIVAJI : Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS be pleased to state :

(a) whether LPG bottling capacity in the country is at present sufficient to meet the consumer needs;

(b) if not, what steps Government propose to take to remedy the situation;

(c) what is the present monthly demand and supply position of LPG cylinders, Statewise;

(d) what is the monthly requirement of LPG cylinders in Delhi, Calcutta, Bombay and Madras and Hyderabad at present; and

(e) whether Government propose to supply LPG through pipeline system in the above cities and if so, by when ?

THEMINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI M. S. GURUPA DASWAMY) : (a) Yes, Sir. The bottling capacity is in excess of the present demand.

(b) Does not arise.

(c) The information is given in the attached statement.

(d) The monthly requirement of LPG cylinders in Delhi, Calcutta, Bombay, Madras and Hyderabad is given below :

Sl. No.	City	Monthly requirement (as on 1-3-90)
1	Delhi	10,61,200
2	Calcutta	4,72,790
3	Bombay	9,25,470
4	Madras	4,71,580
5	Hyderabad	2,64,810

(e) There is no proposal to supply LPG through pipeline system. The proposal for supply of natural gas through a pipeline system in Bombay is, however, under consideration of the Government. GAIL are also examining a proposal for supply of natural gas to domestic consumers in Delhi.

Statement

(L.P.G. bottling Capacity in cylinderas) (as on 1-3-90)

Sl. No.	State/Union Territory	Monthly Demand	Monthly Supply
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	Andhra Pradesh	903816	921180
2	Arunachal Pradesh	6970	7603
3	Assam	225421	216691
4	Bihar	332240	367575
5	Goa	66102	667720
6	Gujarat	956392	1029722
7	Haryana	340695	372295
8	Himachal Pradesh	62418	55113
9	Jammu & Kashmir	80878	84079
10	Karnataka	557084	571262
11	Kerala	319701	302865
12	Madhya Pradesh	651584	671551
13	Maharashtra	2250022	2229532
14	Manipur	26400	27386

(1)	(2)	(3)	4)
15	Meghalaya	23091	17882
16	Mizoram	14573	10701
17	Nagaland	15629	17178
18	Orissa	90575	93129
19	Punjab	509100	524302
20	Rajasthan	408012	407266
21	Sikkim	4154	3238
22	Tamilnadu	1092666	1134027
23	Tripura	16403	18515
24	Uttar Pradesh	1374778	17405819
25	West Bengal	649058	649460
	Union Territories		
26	Andaman & Nicobar	1901	5280
27	Chandigarh	84126	85287
28	Dadra & Nagar Haveli	2250	1480
29	Delhi	1154698	1191092
30	Daman & Diu	4500	3450
31	Lakshdweep	..	..
32	Pondicherry	22849	23378
	TOTAL:	12247286	12516058

Fixing the family norms for the beneficiaries of Government welfare schemes

682. DR. YELAMANCHILI SIVAJI : Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any proposal to declare limited number of children a prerequisite for the beneficiaries of Government's welfare schemes so as to make family planning more sucessful; and

(b) if so, what are the details in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI NILAMANI ROUTRAY) : (a) and (b) The family welfare strategy is being reviewed particularly in the context of Eighth Five Year Plan. Various porposals and alternatives including relating to incentives/disincentives are being examined in this context. No final decision has been taken in the matter as yet.

Time limit for clearance of medical reimbursement bills

683. SHRI PUTTAPAGA RADHAKRISHNA : Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state :

(a) Whether it is fact that some cases relating to the medical reimbursement referred to MG by some statutory organisation on 16th November, 1989 for advice, are still pending;

(b) if so, whether there is any time limit within which Ministry of Health and Family Welfare is to reply such requests;

(c) whether this time stipulation is adhered to strictly; and